



# **Focus Words**

rely | react | alternative | justify | proportion



## Weekly Passage

Animals are used in research by scientists seeking cures for disease. They are also used by pharmaceutical and cosmetic companies to test drugs, makeup, lotions, soaps, and shampoos. Why do we rely on animals to test our products for safety? Because animals share many genes and organs with humans, scientists say that using them advances knowledge and protects humans from potentially harmful effects of new products.

If animal testing is designed to protect humans, then why do so many people <u>react</u> negatively to it? Today, more and more people will not buy products tested on animals because they consider animal testing cruel and unnecessary. Companies that use <u>alternative</u> methods of testing products are

advertising to people who don't mind buying shampoo or laundry detergent that is a bit more expensive in order to avoid products tested on animals.

Medical researchers say that only a small <u>proportion</u> of animals are used in painful or dangerous tests. Animal rights defenders say even one is too many.

Is it okay to test drugs or makeup on animals? Do humans have more rights than animals? Is animal testing justified because it helps humans?

	Examples of Use Notes					
	Example					
it necessary?	Forms					
<u>po</u>	Meaning	(v.) - to depend on	(v.) - to respond	(adj.) - other, different	(v.) - to show or prove to be right	(n.) - fraction; quantity; compared amounts
Unit 1.06  Animal testing Focus Word Chart	Word	rely	react	alternative	justify	proportion

#### **Unit 1.06**

# Animal testing: Is it necessary? Problem of the Week



Many different groups, from makeup companies to cancer research labs, use animal testing. Some look for **alternatives** to animal tests. Others try to **justify** their work, saying that animal testing saves money and human lives. It is difficult to find **reliable** statistics about how many animals are used for testing in the U.S. each year. One estimate is 21 million animals.

Some people **react** differently to animal testing depending on what kind of animal is being used. Using dogs, for example, may seem worse than using rats. A large **proportion** of test animals are rats, mice, and other rodents. Some organizations have estimated that 90% of research animals in the U.S. are rodents.

**Option 1:** According to the estimates given above, how many of the 21 million test animals are rodents?

- A) 17,800,000
- B) 18,000,000
- C) 18,500,000
- D) 18,900,000

**Option 2:** The Humane Society estimates that 3.5 million cats and dogs are euthanized, or killed, each year due to overpopulation. According to the US Department of Agriculture, about 100,000 cats and dogs were used for animal testing in 2005.

Based on the information above, fill in the blank:

About \_\_\_\_ times as many dogs and cats are euthanized due to overpopulation as are used for animal testing each year. (Hint: to solve the problem quickly, use exponents.)

**Math Discussion Question:** When researchers estimated that 21 million animals are used for testing in the U.S. each year, they were not counting invertebrate animals, like shrimp, fish, worms, and flies. Some people say invertebrates aren't really animals. They think that although invertebrates can **react** to stimuli (a shrimp, for example, will move away from an electric shock), they cannot feel pain. Invertebrates make up a much larger **proportion** of test animals than even rats and mice. They are not covered by the rules that help protect vertebrates like cats, rats, and chimps. Is this unequal treatment **justified**? Many of us have a gut feeling that a rabbit is worth more than a fruit fly. We kill bugs, but when a pet dies, we cry. Can we **rely** on these feelings to help us make fair decisions about animal testing? Or should we develop an **alternative** system that treats all animals the same?

# Animal testing: Is it necessary? Debating the Issue

# I. Get ready...

Pick one of these positions (or create your own).

Animal testing should be allowed for all drugs, makeup, lotions, soaps and shampoos. Because animals and humans share so many genes, this is the best way for scientists to predict the reactions that humans will have to these substances, and thereby prevent large numbers of people from becoming sick.

Animal testing should never be allowed. It is cruel to cause pain to innocent animals, and if scientists were not allowed to do it, they would rely on other ways to test drugs and cosmetics.

Animal testing should only be allowed for important drugs that could be used to treat serious diseases in humans. The pain that animals experience is justifiable if it saves human lives. Makeup, lotions, soaps, and shampoos should not be tested on animals because they do not benefit human health.

Animal testing that causes animals a lot of pain should never be allowed because the benefits to humans do not justify this. Animal testing should be permitted only in circumstances where the animals will not be seriously hurt.

E \_\_\_\_

# 2. Get set...

Be ready to provide evidence to back up your position during your class discussion or debate. Jot down a few quick notes:



GO!

Be a strong participant by using phrases like these.

1 believe that...

I agree with you because. . .


You make a good point, but have you considered...

Can you show me evidence in the text that supports what you said?

### **Unit 1.06**

# Animal testing: Is it necessary? Science Activity

For years, cosmetics companies have **relied** on animal testing to make sure products are safe. In Draize testing, makeup is applied directly to the eyes of a living rabbit. The rabbits are observed,



and then killed. When Professor Seemy's students hear about these painful tests, some **react** with horror.

"How can companies **justify** torturing rabbits to sell makeup?" Shawn asks. "I've heard about some **alternatives**, like testing on artificial human skin."

"That's not a good alternative," argues Marcelina. "Testing on a piece of fake skin can't give the same results as testing on a live animal."

Is Marcelina right? Professor Seemy decides to find out.

## **Question:**

Will testing lipstick on artificial skin give the same results as Draize testing?

## **Hypothesis:**

Testing lipstick on artificial skin will give the same results as Draize testing.

### **Materials:**

- ▶ 5 kinds of lipstick that have been tested using the Draize method
- results from those 5 Draize tests
- ▶ 5 artificial skin samples
- Sterile lab
- ▶ Chemical MTT (a yellow chemical that turns blue when it is touching living tissue.)

### **Procedure:**

- 1. Cover each skin sample with a different kind of lipstick.
- 2. Cover each skin sample with chemical MTT.
- 3. By looking at the color of the chemical MTT, calculate the **proportion** of each skin sample that is still alive.
- 4. Call a chemical "safe" if more than 50% of the skin sample is still alive. Call a chemical "unsafe" if less than 50% of the sample is still alive.
- 5. Compare the results with Draize test results.

### Data:

Lipstick Type		Draize Result		Artificial Skin Results		
A	<b>☑</b> sa	fe 🔲	unsafe	safe safe		unsafe
В	<b>☑</b> sa	fe 🔲	unsafe	safe safe		unsafe
С	☐ sa	fe 🗹	unsafe	safe	Ø	unsafe
D	sa	fe 🗹	unsafe	safe	V	unsafe
E	<b>s</b> a	fe 🔲	unsafe	safe safe		unsafe

### **Conclusion**:

Is the hypothesis supported or not by the data?

What evidence supports your conclusion?

How would you make this a better experiment?

# **Writing Prompt:**

Is animal testing justified?

A tool to help you think about your

Check off what you accomplished:

☐ Stated my own position

☐ Included 1 focus word

Remember you can use focus words from any of

**Good Start** 

own writing!

the WG Units.

Support your position with clear reasons and specific examples. Try to use relevant words from the Word Generation list in your response.

Focus Words	Pretty Good
rely   react   alternative   justify   proportion	☐ Stated my own position clearly☐ Included 1-2 arguments☐ Included 1-2 focus words
	Exemplary
	☐ Stated my own position clearly☐ Included 1-2 arguments☐ Included 1 counterargument☐ Used 2-5 focus words
